UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKX	
THOMAS BOSTIC and DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK,	
Plaintiffs,	COMPLAINT
-against-	<u> </u>
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Civil Action No.
Defendant.	

Plaintiffs, THOMAS BOSTIC and DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK, by their attorneys, BURNS & HARRIS, as and for a Complaint herein, respectfully sets forth and alleges:

- 1. That at all times herein mentioned, the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, was and still is a corporation, agency, sovereign and body politic.
- 2. That at all times herein mentioned, United States Postal Service, is a constituent department or body of the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and that the said United States Postal Service, is under the direction and control of the defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 3. That heretofore, and on December 21, 2021 the plaintiffs did file with the aforesaid agency, SF-95s on behalf of each plaintiff, by FedEx Overnight Mail, claims for personal injury caused by the negligence, wrongful acts and/or omissions of the employees of the Government while acting within the course and scope of their authority and said claim was filed within two years from the date of the accident which gave rise to the claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C.§2401(b).

- 4. That thereafter the United States Postal Service acknowledged receipt of the claims filed on behalf of plaintiffs by letter dated December 30, 2021, and advising that the claims would be adjudicated withing six months from December 21, 2022. The six months have elapsed and said claims have not been adjudicated or denied to date.
- 5. That these claims are brought under and by virtue of the Federal Tort Claims Act, US Code Annotated Judiciary and Judicial Procedure, 28 US Code, §1346(b), and other applicable statutes and precedents.
- 6. That the matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$50,000.00 as to plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC.
- 7. That the matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of \$50,000.00 as to plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK.
- 8. That venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. 1402 as the events giving rise to the claim occurred within this judicial district.
- 9. That by reason of the foregoing, this Court has jurisdiction over this matter.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 10. That at all times mentioned herein, the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, was the owner of a motor vehicle registered to the United States Postal Service bearing New York State Plate No. 8870967.
- 11. That at all times mentioned herein, the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA by the United States Postal Service, maintained said motor vehicle bearing New York Plate No. 8870967.
- 12. That at all times mentioned herein, the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA by the United States Postal Service, managed said motor vehicle bearing New

York Plate No. 8870967.

- 13. That at all times mentioned herein, the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA by the United States Postal Service, controlled said motor vehicle bearing New York Plate No. 8870967.
- 14. That at all times mentioned herein, the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA by the United States Postal Service, operated said motor vehicle bearing New York Plate No. 8870967.
- 15. That at all times mentioned herein, Jose L. Marty was the operator of said motor vehicle bearing New York Plate No. 8870967.
- 16. That at all times mentioned herein, Jose L. Marty operated said motor vehicle bearing New York State License No. 8870967 with the knowledge, permission and consent, expressed or implied, of the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the United States Postal Service.
- 17. That at all times mentioned herein, Jose L. Marty was employed by the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA as a United States Postal Service employee.
- 18. That at all times mentioned herein, Jose L. Marty was in the course of his employment at the time and place of this accident.
- 19. That at all times mentioned herein, Jose L. Marty operated said motor vehicle within the course and scope of his duties as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 20. That at all times mentioned herein, defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the United States Postal Service were vicariously liable for the actions of their employee, Jose L. Marty, when he was operating the subject vehicle in the course

and scope of his employment.

- 21. That at all times mentioned herein, JOSE L. MARTY, controlled said motor vehicle bearing New York Plate No. 8870967 within the course and scope of his duties as an agent, servant, and/or employee of the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
- 22. That at all times mentioned herein, the public roadways located in the vicinity of 315 Empire Boulevard, approximately 50 feet East of Nostrand Avenue, County of Kings, City and State of New York are public roadways and thoroughfares in common use by the residents of the State of New York and others.
- 23. That at all times mentioned herein, the plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC was the owner of a 2010 Hyundai motor vehicle bearing South Carolina license plate No. NXB807.
- 24. That at all times mentioned herein, the plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC was the operator of a 2010 Hyundai motor vehicle bearing South Carolina license plate No. NXB807.
- 25. That on the 6th day of August, 2020, the plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC was operating said motor vehicle on the public roadways in the vicinity of 315 Empire Boulevard, approximately 50 feet East of Nostrand Avenue, County of Kings, City and State of New York.
- 26. That on the 6th day of August, 2020, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK, was a passenger in the 2010 Hyundai motor vehicle bearing South Carolina license plate No. NXB807 being operated by plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC, on the public roadways in the vicinity of 315 Empire Boulevard, approximately 50 feet East of Nostrand Avenue, County of Kings, City and State of New York

- 27. That on the 6th day of August, 2020, Jose L. Marty was operating the said motor vehicle owned by the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and registered to the United States Postal Service on the public roadway in the vicinity of 315 Empire Boulevard, approximately 50 feet East of Nostrand Avenue, County of Kings, City and State of New York.
- 28. That on the 6th day of August, 2020, the motor vehicle owned by the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and registered to the United States Postal Service, came into contact with the motor vehicle owned and operated by plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC.
- 29. That on the 6th day of August, 2020, the motor vehicle owned by the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, and registered to the United States Postal Service, came into contact with the motor vehicle owned and operated by plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC in the vicinity of 315 Empire Boulevard, approximately 50 feet East of Nostrand Avenue, County of Kings, City and State of New York.
- 30. Specifically, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC was traveling Westbound on Empire Boulevard 50 feet east of Nostrand Avenue when Jose L. Marty, as the driver of the United States Postal Service vehicle, in the course and scope of his employment with the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, as a United States Postal Service employee, backed into and came into contact with the motor vehicle owned and operated by plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC, thereby causing the accident between the BOSTIC vehicle and the USPS vehicle.
- 31. That by reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC was severely and seriously injured.

- 32. That the foregoing accident and the resulting injuries to the plaintiffs were caused solely by reason of the carelessness and negligence on the part of the defendant, and without any negligence on the part of the plaintiffs contributing thereto.
- 33. That the defendant, its agents, servants and/or employees were careless and negligent in the ownership, operation, maintenance, management, use and/or control of its motor vehicle, and defendant was otherwise careless and negligent under the circumstances then and there prevailing; that said driver was negligent in the manner in which he drove said motor vehicle; failed to yield to the right of way of oncoming traffic and specifically to the plaintiff who had the right of the way; negligently backing up the vehicle without regard for oncoming traffic, and specifically plaintiff's vehicle; that said driver violated the Vehicle and Traffic Laws of the City and State of New York and failed to observe those rules and regulations for the safe driving of a vehicle as promulgated by the United States Postal Service.
- 34. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC, was severely injured and damaged, rendered sick, sore, lame and disabled, sustained severe nervous shock and mental anguish, great physical pain and emotional upset, some of which injuries are permanent in nature and duration, and he will be permanently caused to suffer pain, inconvenience and other effects of such injuries; plaintiff incurred and in the future will necessarily incur further hospital and/or medical expenses in an effort to be cured of said injuries; and plaintiff has suffered and/or in the future will necessarily suffer additional loss of time and earnings from employment; and plaintiff will be unable to pursue the usual duties with the same degree of efficiency as prior to this accident, all to plaintiff's great damage.

- 35. Specifically, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC sustained a right shoulder rotator cuff tear involving supraspinatus and infraspinatus tendons, avascular necrosis of superior humeral head and tear of the anterior labrum with avulsion of the anterior glenoid periosteum. He sustained a left shoulder tear of the anterior labrum, tear of the inferior labrum, rotator cuff tear at the anterior supraspinatus tendon. He sustained a left knee tear of the medial meniscus, tear of the lateral meniscus, complete tear of the anterior cruciate ligament and tear of the medial collateral ligament. He sustained a L5-S1 herniation, L4-L5 disc bulge, L2-L3 disc bulge and L3-L4 disc bulge. He also sustained C3-C4 and C4-C5 disc herniation with impingement on the nerve roots and C5-C6 and C6-C7 disc herniations with nerve root impingement.
- 36. That at a result of the foregoing, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC suffered a serious injury as defined by Section 5102(d) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 37. That as a result of the foregoing, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC sustained serious injuries and economic loss greater than basic economic loss as defined by Section 5102(a) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 38. That by reason thereof, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC is entitled to recover for non-economic loss and for such economic losses as are not included within the definition of 'basic economic loss' as set forth in Section 5102(a) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 39. That plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC is a 'covered person' as defined by Section 5102(j) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
  - 40. That by reason thereof, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC is entitled to recover

for non-economic loss and for such economic losses s are not included within the definition of "basic economic loss" as set forth in Section 5102(a) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.

- 41. That by reason thereof, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC is entitled to recover for non-economic loss and for all economic losses sustained.
- 42. That plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC is not seeking to recover any damages for which plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC has been reimbursed by no-fault insurance and/or for which no fault insurance is obliged to reimburse plaintiff. Plaintiff is seeking to recover only those damages not recoverable through no fault insurance under the Federal Tort Claims Act.
- 43. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS.

## AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 44. Plaintiffs repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as contained in the First Cause of Action of the within Verified Complaint with the same force and effect as though each were more fully set forth at length herein.
- 45. That by reason of the foregoing, the plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK was severely and seriously injured.
- 46. That the foregoing accident and the resulting injuries to the plaintiffs were caused solely by reason of the carelessness and negligence on the part of the defendant, and without any negligence on the part of the plaintiffs contributing thereto.
- 47. That the defendants, their agents, servants and/or employees were careless and negligent in the ownership, operation, maintenance, management, use

and/or control of their motor vehicle, and defendants were otherwise careless and negligent under the circumstances then and there prevailing; that said driver was negligent in the manner in which he drove said motor vehicle; failed to yield to the right of way of oncoming traffic and specifically to the plaintiff who had the right of the way; negligently backing up his vehicle without regard for oncoming traffic, and specifically plaintiff's vehicle; that said driver violated the Vehicle and Traffic Laws of the City and State of New York and failed to observe those rules and regulations for the safe driving of a vehicle as promulgated by the United States Postal Service.

- 48. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK, was severely injured and damaged, rendered sick, sore, lame and disabled, sustained severe nervous shock and mental anguish, great physical pain and emotional upset, some of which injuries are permanent in nature and duration, and she will be permanently caused to suffer pain, inconvenience and other effects of such injuries; plaintiff incurred and in the future will necessarily incur further hospital and/or medical expenses in an effort to be cured of said injuries; and plaintiff has suffered and/or in the future will necessarily suffer additional loss of time and earnings from employment; and plaintiff will be unable to pursue the usual duties with the same degree of efficiency as prior to this accident, all to plaintiff's great damage.
- 49. Specifically, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK sustained a left shoulder rotator cuff tear of the supraspinatus tendon, tear of the infraspinatus tendons, labrum tear and slap tear with arthroscopy, synovectomy, subacromial decompression, anterior acromioplasty, debridement of the labrum and rotator cuff repair; Right shoulder rotator cuff tear involving supraspinatus, infraspinatus and subscapularis

tendons and slap tear extending to the anterior superior labrum; L3/L4 Disc Bulge; C6/7 Herniation with impingement on nerve root; C4-C5 and C5-C6 disc bulge; a Right Knee Trabecular fracture of the medial tibial plateau, tear of the medial meniscus and tear of the anterior cruciate ligament; Left Knee synovitis, grade IV chondromalacia of patellofemoral joint, torn medial and lateral meniscus, arthroscopy of left knee, synovectomy, removal of loose body, chondroplasty of patellofemoral joint and partial medial and lateral meniscectomy.

- 50. That at a result of the foregoing, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK suffered a serious injury including fractures as defined by Section 5102(d) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 51. That as a result of the foregoing, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK sustained serious injuries and economic loss greater than basic economic loss as defined by Section 5102(a) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 52. That by reason thereof, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK is entitled to recover for non-economic loss and for such economic losses as are not included within the definition of 'basic economic loss' as set forth in Section 5102(a) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 53. That plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK is a 'covered person' as defined by Section 5102(j) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.
- 54. That by reason thereof, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK is entitled to recover for non-economic loss and for such economic losses s are not included within the definition of "basic economic loss" as set forth in Section 5102(a) of the Insurance Law of the State of New York.

- 55. That by reason thereof, plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK is entitled to recover for non-economic loss and for all economic losses sustained.
- 56. That plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK is not seeking to recover any damages for which plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK has been reimbursed by no-fault insurance and/or for which no fault insurance is obliged to reimburse plaintiff. Plaintiff is seeking to recover only those damages not recoverable through no fault insurance under the Federal Tort Claims Act.
- 57. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff has been damaged in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS.

## AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

- 58. Plaintiffs repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation as contained in the First and Second Causes of Action of the within Verified Complaint with the same force and effect as though each were more fully set forth at length herein.
- 59. That at all times mentioned herein, it was the duty of the defendant, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its agents, servants and/or employees of the United States Postal Service, to entrust the use of its motor vehicle to suitable, competent, qualified, experienced, trained, diligent and adequate persons.
- 60. That at all times mentioned herein, it was the duty of the defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its agents, servants and/or employees of the United States Postal Service to ensure that its said motor vehicle was entrusted, for use and operation as a vehicle, to persons who were fit, suitable, properly trained and instructed.
  - 61. That at all times mentioned herein, it was the duty of the defendant

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its agents, servants and/or employees of the United States Postal Service, to ensure that its said motor vehicle was not entrusted, for use and operation as a vehicle, to persons who constituted a potential menace, hazard or danger to the public or otherwise, those with unsuitable propensities and those with emotional, physical, psychological and/or physiological traits or characteristics or unsuitable or unstable or contraindicated to safely operate such vehicle.

- 62. That by reason of the negligence of defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by its agents, servants and/or employees of the United States Postal Service, in negligently entrusting its motor vehicle to defendant operator, Jose L. Marty, plaintiffs were caused to suffer severe injuries and damage, without fault or want of care on the part of plaintiffs in any way contributing thereto, thereby causing them extreme physical, mental and emotional illness and distress, as well as severe physical, mental and emotional injuries that are permanent in nature and duration.
- 63. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff THOMAS BOSTIC has been damaged in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS.
- 64. That by reason of the foregoing, plaintiff DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK has been damaged in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC demands judgment against the defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS as to his First Cause of Action; plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK demands judgment against the defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS as to her Second Cause of Action; plaintiff, THOMAS BOSTIC demands judgment against the defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS as to the Third Cause of Action; and

plaintiff, DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK demands judgment against the defendant in the sum of TEN MILLION (\$10,000,000.00) DOLLARS as to the Third Cause of Action, together with the costs and disbursements of this action, and with interest from the date of this accident.

Dated:

New York, New York

August 25, 2022

Yours, etc.,

**BURNS & HARRIS** 

Attorneys for Plain iff

IRWIN J. WEINSTEIN (IW7866)

233 Broadway, Suite 900 New York, New York 10279

(212) 393-1000

ATTORNEY'S VERIFICATION

IRWIN J. WEINSTEIN, ESQ., an attorney duly admitted to practice before the

Courts of the State of New York, affirms the following to be true under the penalties of

perjury:

I am an attorney at BURNS & HARRIS, attorneys of record for Plaintiff herein. I have

read the annexed COMPLAINT and know the contents thereof, and the same are true to my

knowledge, except as to those matters therein which are stated to be alleged upon

information and belief, and as to those matters I believe them to be true. My belief, as to

those matters therein not stated upon knowledge, is based upon facts, records, and other

pertinent information contained in my files.

I make the foregoing affirmation because Plaintiff is not presently in the county

wherein I maintain my offices.

DATED:

New York, New York

August 24, 2022

IRWIN I. WĖINSTEIN

JS 44 (Rev. 4-29-21

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	l. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDAN	TS					
THOMAS BOST	THOMAS BOSTIC and DIANE DOUGLAS-QUICK			UNITED STATES OF AMERICA						
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff K	(INGS		County of Pacidance of First Listed Defendant						
	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)						
				NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	er)		Attorneys (If Kno	wn)					
<b>BURNS &amp; HAR</b>	RIS, 233 Braodway,	Suite 900, New								
York, New York	10279 (212) 393-10	000								
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)		III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF (For Diversity Cases Or		NCIPA		Place an "X" in and One Box for i			
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	Mad - David	Civi	CTI-!- Ct-t-	PTF	DEF	I	in singl Dlags	PTF □ 4	DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Noi a Farty)	Citiz	en of This State	☐ 1	<u> </u>	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		⊔ *	□4
X 2 U.S. Government	☐4 Diversity		Citiz	en of Another State	<b>□</b> 2	□ 2	Incorporated and P	rincipal Place	□ 5	□5
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)			<u>-</u> ب	ш-	of Business In A			
Does this action include a mo to show cause? Yes No	motion for temporary restraining order or order  Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6  Foreign Country		<b>□</b> 6							
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	nly)		_						
CONTRACT	<del>                                     </del>	RTS		RFEITURE/PENAL/T	Y		KRUPTGY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury -	¥	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 8	81 H	422 App 423 With	eal 28 USC 158	375 False C 376 Oui Ta		
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	<b>□</b> 69	0 Other	" Ľ		JSC 157	3729(2	a)) `	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	367 Health Care/						400 State R		nment
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury			H	PROPER 820 Cop	TY-RIGHTS ===	410 Antitru 430 Banks		ng
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability				830 Pate	nt	450 Comm	erce	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	Injury Product	1		$ \mu$		nt - Abbreviated  Drug Application	460 Deport		nced and
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			Ь	840 Trad			t Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER		LABOR	*,579£		end Trade Secrets	480 Consui		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	H <sup>71</sup>	0 Fair Labor Standards Act		Act	of 2016	485 Teleph	SC 1681 or sone Consu	-
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	380 Other Personal	72	0 Labor/Management	17.75	SOGIA	LISECURITY		tion Act	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage	_ <u>_</u>	Relations			(1395ff)	490 Cable/		4
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical	Н		k Lung (923) /C/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit		oditics/
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act		864 SSII	O Title XVI	890 Other 3	Statutory A	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIO		O Other Labor Litigation I Employee Retirement	_	865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		
220 Forcelosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	尸"	Income Security Act		FEDER/	TAX SUITS			
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	•	·			es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act Act		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General	į.		h		Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty	4.54.1	MMIGRATION			USC 7609	_	view or A	
_	Employment	Other:		2 Naturalization Applica	ation			Agency	y Decision	of
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights	er Had	5 Other Immigration Actions				950 Constitution State S		01
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition								
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of								
		Confinement								
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)    Original   2 Removed from   3 Remanded from   4 Reinstated or   5 Transferred from   6 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   8 Multidistrict   1										
		Remanded from [Appellate Court		ened  And	other Di ecify)		6 Multidistri Litigation Transfer	, , ,	Litigation Direct F	on -
		itute under which you a								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	IN -	ct, US Code Annotated J	udiciary a	nd Judicial Procedure,	28 US (	Code Sec	tion 1346(b)			
	Brief description of ca									
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	y D	EMAND \$		C	HECK YES only	if demanded in	`	
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. 40,000,000.00 JURY DEMAND: Yes No										
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)										
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE	<del></del>	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY (	OF RECORD						
August 25, 2022	J/	la toto								
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY										
	MOUNT	APPI VING IFP		ILIDGI	E		MAG IUI	OGE		

### 

#### **CERTIFICATION OF ARBITRATION ELIGIBILITY**

Local Arbitration Rule 83.7 provides that with certain exceptions, actions seeking money damages only in an amount not in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs, are eligible for compulsory arbitration. The amount of damages is presumed to be below the threshold amount unless a certification to the contrary is filed. Case is Eligible for Arbitration I. IRWIN J. WEINSTEIN counsel for do hereby certify that the above captioned civil action is ineligible for compulsory arbitration for the following reason(s): monetary damages sought are in excess of \$150,000, exclusive of interest and costs. the complaint seeks injunctive relief, the matter is otherwise ineligible for the following reason <u> DISCLOSURE STATEMENT - FEDERAL RULES CIVIL PROCEDURE 7.1</u> Identify any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more or its stocks: **RELATED CASE STATEMENT (Section VIII on the Front of this Form)** Please list all cases that are arguably related pursuant to Division of Business Rule 50.3.1 in Section VIII on the front of this form. Rule 50.3.1 (a) provides that "A civil case is "related" to another civil case for purposes of this guideline when, because of the similarity of facts and legal issues or because the cases arise from the same transactions or events, a substantial saving of judicial resources is likely to result from assigning both cases to the same judge and magistrate judge." Rule 50.3.1 (b) provides that " A civil case shall not be deemed "related" to another civil case merely because the civil case. (A) involves identical legal issues, or (B) involves the same parties." Rule 50.3.1 (c) further provides that "Presumptively, and subject to the power of a judge to determine otherwise pursuant to paragraph (d), civil cases shall not be deemed to be "related" unless both cases are still pending before the court." NY-E DIVISION OF BUSINESS RULE 1(c) Is the civil action being filed in the Eastern District removed from a New York State Court located in Nassau or Suffolk 1.) County? Yes No 2.) If you answered "no" above: a) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in Nassau or Suffolk County? Yes No b) Did the events or omissions giving rise to the claim or claims, or a substantial part thereof, occur in the Eastern c) If this is a Fair Debt Collection Practice Act case, specify the County in which the offending communication was received: If your answer to question 2 (b) is "No," does the defendant (or a majority of the defendants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County, or, in an interpleader action, does the claimant (or a majority of the claimants, if there is more than one) reside in Nassau or Suffolk County? (Note: A corporation shall be considered a resident of the County in which it has the most significant contacts). **BAR ADMISSION** I am currently admitted in the Eastern District of New York and currently a member in good standing of the bar of this court. V No Yes Are you currently the subject of any disciplinary action (s) in this or any other state or federal court? Yes (If yes, please explain No I certify the accuracy of all information Signature:

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of New York						
THOMAS BOSTIC and DIANE DO	OUGLAS-QUICK, ) ) )					
Plaintiff(s) v. UNITED STATES OF AN  Defendant(s)	MERICA ) ) ) ) )	Civil Action No.				
SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION						
To: (Defendant's name and address) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA c/o United States Attorney for the Eastern District 271 Cadman Plaza E. Brooklyn, New York 11201 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA c/o Attorney General of the United States U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW, Washington, DC 20530-0001						
A lawsuit has been filed against you.						
are the United States or a United States P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serv the Federal Rules of Civil Procedur whose name and address are:  IRW BUF 233	ates agency, or an officer of the on the plaintiff an answe	(not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. or to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.						
		CLERK OF COURT				
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk				